

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 11-7738

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

CLEMENTINE BULLOCK,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, at Charlottesville. Norman K. Moon, Senior District Judge. (3:10-cr-00032-NKM-11)

Submitted: May 31, 2012

Decided: June 5, 2012

Before KING, DUNCAN, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Clementine Bullock, Appellant Pro Se. Elliott J. Casey, Joseph D. Platania, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Ronald Mitchell Huber, Assistant United States Attorney, Charlottesville, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Clementine Bullock appeals the district court's orders denying her 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2006) motion for a reduction in her sentence based on Amendment 750 to the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Manual (2010) and reconsideration of that order. We have reviewed the record and hold the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying the relief Bullock sought. See United States v. Munn, 595 F.3d 183, 186 (4th Cir. 2010) (stating standard of review). Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court in its order denying reconsideration. See United States v. Bullock, No. 3:10-cr-00032-NKM-11 (W.D. Va. filed Nov. 17, 2011 & entered Nov. 18, 2011; Dec. 8, 2011). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED